

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,004	OWEN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Muktesh G. Gupta	2444	

All Participants:

(1) Muktesh G. Gupta.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Kendrick Lo (54948).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 May 2009

Time: 03:28 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 24, 50, 6 and 29.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

With due approval from SPE William Vaughn, examiner discussed Claims 1, 24 and 50 and to insert limitations recited in Claim 6 to Claims 1 and 50, and insert limitation recited in Claim 29 into Claim 24. Also discussed, to Delete reference to "or transmission-type" from paragraph [0111] of the Applicant's description. Applicants Attorney agreed to email amended Claims reflecting requested amendment to above claims and to specification.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

